

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Toshio Nishimura	36856.337	CONFIRMATION NO. 6442
09/648,523					
75	90	05/06/2002			
Keating & Ber	nnett LLP		EXAMINER		
Suite 312 10400 Eaton Place				BUDD, MARK OSBORNE	
Fairfax, VA 22	2030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2834	
				DATE MAILED: 05/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. 648 523 Applicant(s) Nishimura		
Office Action Summary	5 company Company		
	Examine Bold Group Art Unit 2834		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address		
Period for Response	3		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS S MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE		
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by def	a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status 3 - 6 -	21		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
This action is FINAL .			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 5. C.D. 1 1: 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims / - / 6 Claim(s)			
	is/are pending in the application.		
·	is/are withdrawn from consideration		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or electio		
Application Papers	requirement.		
$\ \square$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ed to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ed to by the Examiner.		
 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) 			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).		
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) 	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). he priority documents have been er)		
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the International 	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). he priority documents have been er) rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) 	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). he priority documents have been er) rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interest of the Certified copies not received: 	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). he priority documents have been er) rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received in the Interest of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received in the Interest of □ received in	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been or) rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). o(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413		
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interaction of the Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). he priority documents have been er) rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Serial Number: 09/648,523

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 1, 2, 5-10, 12, 13, 15 and 16 are rejected under **35 USC 102** as anticipated by Kaida (652), Kaida (698), Ogawa or Kitaka for the specific reasons set forth in paper no: 10 (10-15-01).

Claims 3, 4, 11 and 14 are rejected under **35 U.S.C. 102** as anticipated by Kitaka for the reason noted in paper no: 3 (10-15-01).

Applicants argue that the references do not explicitly teach the thickness of each piez electric layer as the same as all others. Applicants also note that although the drawings may appear to show equal thicknesses that drawings are imprecise and no to scale, and should not be relied on for dimensional facts. It is true that the references don't explicitly define the piezo-electric layer thickness and drawings are not expected to be an exact depiction of dimensions., However, it is also clear that the references give no reason why the layers should or would be of different thicknesses. Thus, it is fair and reasonable to assume the layer thickness is meant to be uniform (within manufacturing tolerances) and D1=D2. Theus the claims, structurally, read directly on the cited references.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2834

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

MARK O. BUDD HAMASY EXAMINER ART UNIT 212

M BUDD/pj

05/02/02